**Texas Education Agency** Standard Application System

	Manager and a second and a second	Stan	idard	Applica	ation System	(SAS	<u>)                                    </u>			
	<b>2015–20</b> 2	20 Te	xas Ti	itle I Pri	ority Schoo	ls. Cva	:le 4			
Program authority:	P.L. 107-1 Section 10	10 ESE	A, as am	ended by	the NCLB Act of 20	001,		FOR T	EA USE	
Grant period:	allocations	anuary 1, 2016, to July 31, 2020, pending future federal llocations. Pre-award costs are permitted from October 1, 2015, December 31, 2015.								
Application deadline:	5:00 p.m. (	Central T	Time, Au	aust 20, 20	)15			Place	date stamp l	tere.
Submittal information:	Six comple signature ( aforementi	Six complete copies of the application, three with original signature (blue ink preferred), must be received no later than the aforementioned time and date at this address:  Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration  Texas Education Agency  1701 North Congress Ave  Austin, TX 78701-1494								
Contact information:	Leticia Gov (512) 463-	Leticia Govea: leticia.govea@tea.texas.gov; (512) 463-1427								
		Scl	hedule #	1—Gener	al Information					
Part 1: Applicant Inforn	nation					12 12 12 12				
Organization name		1	County-	-District #	Campus name/#	<u>.</u>		1.	Amendi	mont #
MANOR ISD			227-90		DECKER ELEM		/104		<u> </u>	HEILT
Vendor ID #	ESC F	Region #			ressional District #		DUN		<i></i>	
1746003097	13		***************************************	TX-010				23239		
Mailing address			Wilder	<del>                                     </del>	City			State	ZIP C	ode
10335 US HWY 290E			MANOR		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		TX	7865		
Primary Contact						***************************************				
First name		M.I.	Last r	ame		Tit	e			
ERIN	WARREN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR									
Telephone #	Email address					X#				
512-278-4421	ERIN.WARREN@MANORISD.NET									
Secondary Contact		-								
First name	M.I. Last name Title									
CHRISTOPHER	HARVEY FEDERAL PROGRAI		RAMS	GOOP						
Telephone # Email address FAX #			, v uvio	<u> </u>						
512-278-4454					Y@MANORISD.N	ET				
Part 2: Certification and	Incorporati					weining				

## Part 2: Certification and Incorporation

I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that the organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, the general provisions and assurances, debarment and suspension certification, lobbying certification requirements, special provisions and assurances, and the schedules attached as applicable. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the Agency or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement.

## **Authorized Official:**

First name **KEVIN** 

M.I. Last name

Title

BRACKMEYER Telephone # Email address

SUPERINTENDENT FAX#

512-278-4002

Signature (blue ink preferred)

KEVIN.BRACKMEYER@MANORISD.NET

Date signed

701-15-107-088

Only the legally responsible party may sign this application.

Fexas Education Agency Standard Application System	(SAS

-	40000		6600000		and the second second second	Control of the second	
40	2071	10 777	10/200	noral	Into en	antina a	AAME 1
	ICUL	HG II I	-00	HGIAL	HILLIII	lauvii	(cont.)
			*****				Constitution of the contract of

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Schedules Required for New or Amended Applications

An X in the "New" column indicates a required schedule that must be submitted as part of any new application. The applicant must mark the "New" checkbox for each additional schedule submitted to complete the application. For amended applications, the applicant must mark the "Amended" checkbox for each schedule being submitted as part of the amendment.

Schedule	Schedule Name	Applicat	Application Type		
#	Schedule Name	New	Amended		
1	General Information	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
2	Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances		N/A		
4	Request for Amendment	N/A	$\boxtimes$		
5	Program Executive Summary	×			
6	Program Budget Summary				
7	Payroll Costs (6100) – SEE NOTE	See			
8	Professional and Contracted Services (6200) – SEE NOTE	Important			
9	Supplies and Materials (6300) - SEE NOTE	Note for			
10	Other Operating Costs (6400) – SEE NOTE	Competitive			
11	Capital Outlay (6600/15XX) - SEE NOTE	Grants*			
12	Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds				
13	Needs Assessment				
14	Management Plan	X			
15	Project Evaluation				
16	Responses to Statutory Requirements				
17	Responses to TEA Requirements				
18	Equitable Access and Participation				

\*IMPORTANT NOTE FOR COMPETITIVE GRANTS: Schedules #7, #8, #9, #10 and #11 are required schedules if any dollar amount is entered for the corresponding class/object code on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary. For example, if any dollar amount is budgeted for class/object code 6100 on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary, then Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100) is required and if it is either blank or missing from the application, the application will be disqualified.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

# Schedule #2—Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907 Part 1: Required Attachments

The following table lists the fiscal-related and program-related documents that are required to be submitted with the application (attached to the back of each copy, as an appendix).

#	Applicant Type	Name of Required Fiscal-Related Attachment
No fi	scal-related attachments are require	ed for this grant.
#	Name of Required Program-Related Attachment	Description of Required Program-Related Attachment
No p	rogram-related attachments are req	uired for this grant.
Part	2: Acceptance and Compliance	

By marking an X in each of the boxes below, the authorized official who signs Schedule #1—General Information certifies his or her acceptance of and compliance with all of the following guidelines, provisions, and assurances.

Note that provisions and assurances specific to this program are listed separately, in Part 3 of this schedule, and require a separate certification.

X	Acceptance and Compliance
	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the General and Fiscal Guidelines.
	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the program guidelines for this grant.
$\boxtimes$	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all General Provisions and Assurances requirements.
×	I certify that I am not debarred or suspended. I also certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Debarment and Suspension Certification requirements.
×	I certify that this organization does not spend federal appropriated funds for lobbying activities and certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Lobbying Certification requirements.
×	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 Provisions and Assurances requirements.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Part 3: Program-Specific Provisions and Assurances	
County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Schedule #2—Required Attachments and Prov	visions and Assurances

I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.

The applicant provides assurance that program funds will supplement (increase the level of service), and not supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) from general release to the public.  The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus received were in the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement these activities would have received were into at TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement these around of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.  The applicant provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements.  1. Use list TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.  2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable.  3. If it implements a restart m	$\boxtimes$	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.
supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy.  The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) from general release to the public.  The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.  The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant. An applicant that plaglarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.  The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements:  1. Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.  2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure pro	#	
the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (EERPA) from general release to the public.  The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.  The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant. An applicant that plagiarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.  The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements:  1. Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.  2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable.  3. If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions  4. Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding.  6. Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requi	1.	supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy.
ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds if would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.  4. The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant. An applicant that plaglarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.  The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements:  1. Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.  2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable.  3. If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions  4. Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding.  6. Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.  The LEA provides assurance that it will par	2.	
<ul> <li>The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant. An applicant that plagiarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.</li> <li>The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements: <ol> <li>Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.</li> <li>Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable.</li> <li>If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions</li> <li>Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality.</li> <li>Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding.</li> <li>Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The LEA/campus provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.</li> <li>The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model, the campus will meet all of</li></ul>	3.	ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide
The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements:  1. Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.  2. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable.  3. If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions  4. Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality.  5. Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding.  6. Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.  7. The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:  1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.  (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model; (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that—  i. Take Into account data on student growth as: a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective o	4.	
Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.  The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:  1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.  (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;  (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that—  i. Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and  ii. Uses the definition of student growth as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of	5.	<ol> <li>The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements:         <ol> <li>Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.</li> <li>Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable.</li> <li>If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions</li> </ol> </li> <li>Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality.</li> <li>Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding.</li> <li>Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.</li> </ol>
The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:  1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.  (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;  (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that—  i. Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and  ii. Uses the definition of student growth as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of	6.	
	7.	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:  1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.  (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;  (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that—  i. Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and  ii. Uses the definition of student growth as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

8.

across classrooms.

- iii. Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
- (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
- (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
- 2. Deliver comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
  - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
  - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 3. Increase learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
  - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year, and by addressing each of the following areas:
    - Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
    - ii. Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
    - iii. Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
  - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
  - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
  - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Texas state-design model</u>, the campus will deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS). In doing so, the LEA/campus will implement the following:

- Pursue designation as a Texas Early College High School, with a target of earning TEA ECHS designation and full-operation as an ECHS, no later than the start of the second year of the TTIPS grant implementation period; Fall 2017.
- Create an innovative high school that enables students to graduate with a high school diploma and an associate degree; or high school diploma and 60 college credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree.
- Provide college credit earned through the high school years for all students at no cost; including tuition, fees and textbook costs.

For TFA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

- 4. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness through use of the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System and Texas Principal Evaluation and Support System.
- 5. While implementing for all students, the program specifically identifies students for more intensive supports. These students include those at-risk for dropping out of school, as defined in Texas statedefined criteria in TEC §29.081; and students historically underrepresented in college courses. In developing and providing the more intensive supports, , the LEA/campus will have:
  - (A) Data to identify the population at risk of dropping out of school;
  - (B) Quantitative and qualitative data to identify students least likely to attend college/those historically underrepresented in college courses;
  - (C) Early College brochures in all languages relevant to the school community;
  - (D) Written communication plan for relevant target audiences: parents, community members, school board.

## Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 1.

- 6. By the start of TTIPS full-implementation (Fall 2016), the LEA/campus will have key partnerships in place that will enable success as an Early College High School. These partnerships are marked by signed Memoranda of Understanding with current signature each year of implementation. Key partnerships include:
  - (A) Partnership between the school district and an institute of higher education (IHE) that:
    - Defines the partnership between the LEA/campus and the IHE and addresses topics including, but not limited to: the ECHS location, the allocation of costs for tuition, fees, textbooks, and student transportation;
    - Defines an active partnership between the school district(s) and the IHE(s), which shall include joint decision-making procedures that allow for the planning and implementation of a coherent program across institutions; and
    - iii. Includes provisions and processes for collecting, sharing, and reviewing program and student data to assess the progress of the ECHS.
  - (B) Contract/partner with the Texas Early College High School Technical Assistance provider for access to training, coaching, and technical assistance through to earning designation. Once designated, will continue work with the technical assistance provider as is required as a condition of TEA designation.
  - (C) Contract/partner with a Texas Early College High School demonstration site. Demonstration sites are identified by TEA each year from 2015-2016 forward. Terms of the contract/partnership shall be designed for demonstration site/ model program for the TTIPS LEA/campus by TEA in early 2015-2016

#### Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 2.

- 7. By the start of TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year (January 1, 2016), the LEA and key partners must have developed and be maintaining a leadership team focused on P-16 Leadership Initiatives that meets regularly to address issues of the ECHS design and sustainability. At minimum, the membership shall include the campus principal and individuals with decision-making authority from both the LEA and IHE. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 3.
- 8. Provide a curriculum that offers a rigorous and accelerated course of study, in both college-credit bearing courses and preparatory/college readiness courses. Additionally, the program must provide students with the academic, emotional and social supports necessary to be successful in the rigorous course of study. The curriculum and supports must meet the following:
  - (A) Beginning in TTIPS first year of full-implementation (Fall 2016), have curriculum in place that allows all students to graduate high school with at least six semester credit hours toward a baccalaureate

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

degree.

- (B) By TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), have curriculum in place that enables students to receive a high school diploma and complete the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum (as defined by TAC §4.28); or an associate's degree; or at least 60 credits toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.
- (C) Possess a written course of study plan showing how students will progress as an ECHS graduate.

  The plan must provide pathway to a baccalaureate degree and follow the courses and fields of study listed in the THECB Lower Division Academic Course Guide Manual.
- (D) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide academic supports to the students in the form of: extended learning time sessions for tutoring, advisory and/or college readiness support time built into the program of study, and a college-readiness mentorship program.
- (E) Beginning in the TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year, the campus will provide social and emotional supports to the students, including: connections to social services, parent outreach and involvement opportunities.
- (F) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide college awareness and access services to students and families, including: college application assistance, financial aid counseling, college and career counseling.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 4.

By the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus shall provide for the administration of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college placement exam to students in order to assess college readiness, design individual instruction plans, and enable students to begin college courses based on their performance. Fees associated with assessment administrations must be waived/covered for all students. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 5.

- 9. By the start of the TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), the campus will provide a full-day program at an autonomous high school; operating with:
  - (A) An IHE liaison with decision-making authority who interacts directly and frequently with the campus staff and administrators;
  - (B) A highly qualified teaching staff possessing appropriate level of certification, training and ongoing supports to teach college-bearing courses to high school students.
  - (C) Clear opportunities for students to have regular use (at least six times per school year) of college academic facilities, regardless of early college school site.
  - (D) Opportunities for high school faculty and staff to receive regular training and support; in collaboration with the IHE faculty and staff.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 6.

The Texas concept for an Early College High School is fully described in the following resources:

- Texas Education Agency, Early College High School program
- Texas Education Code §29.908
- Texas Administrative Code §4.161
- 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 102 Educational Programs Subchapter GG: <u>Commissioner's Rules</u> Concerning Early College Education Program

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will submit an Early College High School Readiness Assessment as a supplement to the TTIPS required Implementation Readiness Portfolio. Assessment forms will be provided by the TEA TTIPS program office.

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will apply for Texas Early College High School designation, no later than applications are available for schools that wish to be designated for the 2017-2018 school year.

9. | The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Early Learning Intervention Model in an

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

elementary school, the campus will implement in accordance with the following federal requirements:

- Offer full-day kindergarten.
- 2. Establish or expand a high-quality preschool program.

A high-quality program includes structural elements that are evidence-based and nationally recognized as important for ensuring quality. Implementation under this grant program must meet the requirements of a high-quality preschool program, as defined in the U.S. Department of Education's Preschool Development Grants program. Under this definition, program must have:

- (A) High staff qualifications, including a teacher with a bachelor's degree in early childhood education or a bachelor's degree in any field with a state-approved alternative pathway;
- (B) High-quality professional development for all staff;
- (C) A child-to-instructional staff ratio of no more than 10 to 1;
- (D) A class size of no more than 20 with, at a minimum, one teacher with high staff qualifications;
- (E) A full-day program;
- (F) Inclusion of children with disabilities to ensure access to and full participation in all opportunities;
- (G) Developmentally appropriate, culturally and linguistically responsive curricula, and learning environments that are aligned with the state early learning and development standards for at least the year prior to kindergarten entry;
- (H) Individualized accommodations and supports so that all children can access and participate fully in learning activities;
- (I) Instructional staff salaries that are comparable to the salaries of local K-12 instructional staff;
- (J) Program evaluation to ensure continuous improvement;
- (K) On-site or accessible comprehensive services for children and community partnerships that promote families' access to services that support their children's learning and development;
- (L) Evidence-based health and safety standards.
- 3. The LEA has assessed the benefits of contracting with a community-based provider to provide the high-quality preschool programs for students enrolled in an elementary school implementing the model, either at the TTIPS campus or through an existing high-quality child care or Head Start program within the LEA or nearby community. The LEA is aware that the preschool is not required to be physically located in the eligible elementary school. However, students must be enrolled in the grantee school that is implementing the early learning model to receive preschool services funded through the grant program.
- 4. Provide educators, including preschool teachers, time for joint planning across grade levels.
- 5. Replace the principal who led the school prior to the commencement of the early learning model.
- 6. Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that-
  - (A) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
  - (B) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have
  increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who,
  after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not
  done so.
- 8. Implement strategies such as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain high quality

ForTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

educators.

- 9. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is:
  - (A) Research-based:
  - (B) Developmentally appropriate:
  - (C) Vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
  - (D) Promotes academic content across a range of development: math and science, literacy and language, socio-emotional skills, self-regulation, and executive functions.
- Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative
  assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual
  students.
- 11. Provide staff with ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development such as coaching and mentoring that is:
  - (A) Aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program
  - (B) Designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to implement school reform strategies.
- 12. Operate in compliance with all regulations in the Texas Pre-Kindergarten Guidelines (PKG).
- 13. Utilize Texas State Board of Education approved pre-kindergarten instructional materials.
- 14. Enroll in the Children's Learning Institute (CLI), <u>CLI Engage</u> platform, and utilize the <u>Texas School</u> <u>Ready!</u> Child progress monitoring assessments with pre-kindergarten students.

If selecting the Early Learning Intervention model and receiving these grant funds to support the implementation, the full-day kindergarten and full-day pre-kindergarten programs must be offered free of charge to all enrolled students.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- Replace the principal and grant the new principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to fully implement a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
- 2. Use locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
  - (A) Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
  - (B) Select new staff

10.

- 3. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
- 4. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
- Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to
  report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports directly to
  the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA
  to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

11.

12.

- 6. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next, as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative
  assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual
  students;
- 8. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:

(A) Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.

(B) Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.

(C) Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.

9. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.

If selecting the turnaround model, the applicant agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Whole-School Reform model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

Implement an evidence-based whole-school reform in partnership with a model developer.

- (A) The model developer is an entity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model or an entity or individual that has a demonstrated record of success in implementing wholeschool reform models in one or more low-achieving school.
- 2. The whole-school reform model selected must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates its efficacy. The federal SIG office has approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this evidence standard; published here: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html These approved models are supported by:

(A) A study of efficacy that meets What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards.

- (B) A study that shows statistically significant favorable impact on a student academic achievement or attainment outcome.
- (C) A study which used a large sample and multi-site sampling.
- 3. Evidence supporting the efficacy of the whole-school model selected is based on an implementation with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
- 4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.
- 5. The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and in a coordinated manner:
  - (A) School leadership
  - (B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area
  - (C) Non-academic supports for students
  - (D) Family and community engagement

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Standard Application System (SAS)

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Ţ	exas	Edu	ıcation	Agency
---	------	-----	---------	--------

Standard Application System (SAS)

### Schedule #4—Request for Amendment

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

## Part 1: Submitting an Amendment

This schedule is used to amend a grant application that has been approved by TEA and issued a Notice of Grant Award (NOGA). **Do not submit this schedule with the original grant application.** Refer to the instructions to this schedule for information on what schedules must be submitted with an amendment.

An amendment may be submitted by mail **or** by fax. Do not submit the same amendment by both methods. Amendments submitted via email will not be accepted.

If the amendment is mailed, submit three copies of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to the following address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701-1494.

If the amendment is faxed, submit one copy of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to either of the following fax numbers: (512) 463-9811 or (512) 463-7915.

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is listed on the <u>TEA Grant Opportunities</u> page. An amendment is effective on the day TEA receives it in substantially approvable form. All amendments are subject to review and approval by TEA.

#### Part 2: When an Amendment is Required

For all grants, regardless of dollar amount, prior written approval is required to make certain changes to the application. Refer to the "When to Amend" guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page to determine when an amendment is required for this grant. Use that guidance to complete Part 3 and Part 4 of this schedule.

Par	t 3: Revised Budget			<del></del>		
			Α	В	С	D
#	Schedule #	Class/ Object Code	Grand Total from Previously Approved Budget	Amount Deleted	Amount Added	New Grand Total
1.	Schedule #7: Payroll	6100	\$	\$	\$	\$
2.	Schedule #8: Contracted Services	6200	\$	\$	\$	\$
3.	Schedule #9: Supplies and Materials	6300	\$	\$	\$	\$
4.	Schedule #10: Other Operating Costs	6400	\$	\$	\$	\$
5.	Schedule #11: Capital Outlay 6600/ 15XX		\$	\$	\$	\$
6.	Total direct costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$
7.	Indirect cost ( %):		\$	\$	\$	\$
8.	Total costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$

Revised Annual Budget Breakdown					
Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 S-Year Total Budget Request					
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas E	ducation Agency		Standard Application System (SAS)	
Schedule #4—Request for Amendment (cont.)				
		or vendor ID: 227-907	Amendment # (for amendments only):	
Part 4:	Amendment Ju	stification		
Line #	# of Schedule Being Amended	Description of Change	Reason for Change	
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				

	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

## Schedule #5-Program Executive Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver.

Please focus the response on the qualities that enable this specific campus and district team to achieve foundational pursuits of a school improvement undertaking: accelerated achievement, system transformation, and sustained reform.

Summarize the district commitments to achieve foundational elements through the district's:

- Vision and focus for school reform
- Sense of urgent need for change
- High expectations for results
- Operational flexibilities that will be afforded the campus in a reform effort.

Summarize the district and campus capacity and ability to benefit from this grant in terms of:

- Organizational structures
- Existing capacity and resources
- Communication structures

Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Manor Independent School District is committed to achieve the foundational pursuits of a school improvement undertaking, ensuring programming accelerates achievement, buildings systems of transformation, and all efforts of reform are sustainable.

Recently, Manor ISD adopted a new motto and mission. Our motto is "every student, One Mission, Our Future." Our mission statement is: "together we will ensure the social, emotional and academic development of every student so they will become successful, responsible citizens and quality contributors."

### We are committed to:

- Responsibility honesty, integrity, transparency, strong work ethic, high morals & standards
- Respect honor self, one another, the district, and the community
- Culture positive community, diversity, collaboration, standard of excellence, "Team Manor"
- Service quality customer service, effective communication
- Discovery all stakeholders are lifelong learners, continuous improvement, and innovative practices

Our district leadership team and school board members recently had a retreat to address the major areas of need. We established goals and priorities, and then we created a list of urgent tasks. Our focus was turned towards our two Title I Priority School campuses, Decker Elementary & Manor Excel Academy. We talked about the sense of urgency to redistribute resources, staff, and systems to ensure success for those campuses.

# Organization & Communication Structures

The DCSI will work closely with the TTIPS funded campus, meeting 1-2 times weekly at the campus with the teachers and leadership team. Other structures in place is the District PSP, Federal Programs Coordinator, and external providers that will meet with campus leadership monthly and maintain effective communication with the district executive cabinet, ensuring the campus continues to receive support with a sense of urgency. Manor ISD has already committed local and existing state and federal program dollars to provide additional staff, resources, and professional development.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

## Schedule #5-Program Executive Summary (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Refer to the instructions for a description of the requested elements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

The TTIPS grant will increase effectiveness of district supports and help the campus staff reach their goal of being removal from the Texas Title I Priority and Focus School list. The following are assurances provided by the district and predicted outcomes from the award of TTIPS funds:

- The district had granted organizational flexibility for hiring practices and professional development needs
- The district has provided additional teachers to reduce class size
- The grant will be used to provide an evidence-based intervention service, such as Sylvan Learning of Austin, to increase capacity and extend learning time by deploying highly trained tutors, proven math/reading curriculum and site-based program management to ensure students receive individualized reading and/or math instruction before and after the school day.
- The District School Improvement Director and other contracted agencies will work together to transform the campus with proven whole-school reform models
- The grant will provide more counselor support for non-academic barriers to learning
- The grant will employ an evidence-based intervention service, such as Sylvan Learning, to provide addition learning time before, during, and/after school through research-based academic interventions
- The grant funds will provide the necessary funding to provided accelerated instruction to help students academically behind, early learning interventions, and training to support improved Tier I classroom instruction and interventions.
- The grant funds with all for the necessary staff development specific to the campus needs, travel to best practice conferences and professional development
- The grant funds will support parental involvement and engagement activities; which are known to help increase student achievement.

The five-year grant period allows the district enough time to pan to sustain the programs efforts in place through TTIPS funding. Over the next five years Manor ISD is expecting to see above 5% growth. We are already planning to open 2-3 schools in the next three years. We are expecting an increase in student population which means an increase in revenue. Funds will be set aside to sustain staffing and programs needed to maintain the predicted academic success. In addition, the district will hire a grant writer to provide external funding sources to support current and new initiatives.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

					Schedu	le #6—Pr	ule #6—Program Budget Summary	dget Sum	mary				
County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907	er or veno	dor ID: 227	-907				Атепс	iment # (for	Amendment # (for amendments only):	nts only):			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Program authority: P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g)	.L. 107-1	10 ESEA, a	s amended	by the NCL	B Act of 200	11, Section	1003(g)			The state of the s			
Grant period: January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2020, pending future federal allocations. Preaward costs are permitted from October 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015.	y 1, 2016 nitted fron	, to July 31, n October 1	, 2020, pend I, 2015, to D	ling future for ecember 31	ederal alloca I, 2015.	ations. Pre-	Fund c	Fund code: 276					
Budget Summary													-
Schedule #/Title	Class/ Object Code	Year 1 Program Cost	Year 1 Admin Cost	Amount of Year 1 as Pre- award	Year 2 Program Cost	Year 2 Admin Cost	Year 3 Program Cost	Year 3 Admin Cost	Year 4 Program Cost	Year 4 Admin Cost	Year 5 Program Cost	Year 5 Admin Cost	Total Budgeted Cost across all Years
#7-Payroll Costs	6100	\$394930	\$	\$39493	\$757360	s	\$757360	\$	\$757360	s	\$757360	G	\$3424370
#8-Professional and Contracted Services	6200	\$122500	49	\$12250	\$345000	<b>G</b>	\$345000	us.	\$345000	G	\$345000	s	\$1502500
#9-Supplies and Materials	6300	\$135000	s	\$27500	\$60000	<b>€</b> >	\$6000	s	\$6000	↔	\$6000	<b>G</b>	\$375000
\$30000	6400	\$30000	\$	\$3000	\$30000	<b>↔</b>	\$30000	s,	\$30000	s	\$30000	es.	\$150000
#11-Capital Outlay	6600/ 15XX	s,	49	s	υs	s	v	s,	8	<b>6</b> 9	s	w	***
Consolidate Administrative Funds	inistrative	Funds	□ Yes □	□ No			ALL SHIVE STANKING TO	***************************************					and the state of t
Percentage% indirect costs (see note):	irect costs (see note):	N/A	so.	N/A	N/A	ø	N/A	ø	N/A	\$	N/A	es .	45
Grand total of budgeted costs (add all entries in each column):	eted costs	\$682430	47	\$68243	\$119236 0	\$	\$119236 0	•	\$119236 0	<b>4</b>	\$119236 0	\$	\$5451870
						Administra	Administrative Cost Calculation	Iculation			) avolenne		
Enter the total grant amount requested:	nount requ	ested:										\$54	\$5451870
Percentage limit on administrative costs established for the program (5%):	ministrative	s costs estab	lished for the	program (5%	 	-						×	×.05

NOTE: Indirect costs are calculated and reimbursed based on actual expenditures when reported in the expenditure reporting system, regardless of the amount budgeted and approved in the grant award amount. They are not in addition to the grant award amount. Multiply and round down to the nearest whole dollar. Enter the result. This is the maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including indirect costs.

\$272593.50

Indirect costs are not required to be budgeted in the grant application in order to be charged to the grant. Do not submit an amendment solely for the purpose of budgeting indirect costs.

- No more than \$2,000,000 per year may be requested.
- Year 1 is designed to be a planning/pre-implementation period, lasting from January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2016. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the shorter time period and type of activity.
  - Years 2, 3, and 4; operating in school years 2016-2017, 2017-2018, and 2018-2019, are designed to be full implementation years.
- Year 5; operating in school year 2019-2020, is designed to be a supported sustainability year. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the type of activity.

For TEA Use Only	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
A STATE OF THE PART AND THE PAR	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4 RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16

	and official primary	10. 202 OI		Schedule #/rayioii costs (e lud		2 10 1001	Am	Amendment # (for amendments only)	or amendme	nts only):
3	nty-district number	County-district number of version 10. 221-301								
	1	Estimated #	Estimated #	Year 1	Amount of Year 1 to	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted
Щ	Employee Position Title	itle 100% Grant Funded	of Positions <100% Grant Funded	Amount Budgeted	be used as Pre-	Amount Budgeted	Amount Budgeted	Amount Budgeted	Amount Budgeted	Costs across all Years
Aca	Academic/Instructional			-	Awain					
F	Teacher			\$	69	<del>v</del>	<del>(/)</del>	\$	\$	s
- 2	Educational aide	100	9	\$56100	\$5610	\$112200	\$112200	\$112200	\$112200	\$504900
l e	Instructional Coach	100	-	\$30000	\$3000	\$50000	\$50000	\$50000	\$50000	\$230000
Proc	Program Management and Administration	ind Administration	and the same and t							***************************************
4	Grant Coordinator	100	*	\$30000	\$3000	\$50000	\$50000	\$50000	\$50000	\$230000
22	Title			₩.	<sub>6</sub>	\$	₩	G	<del>(S)</del>	S
9	Title		The state of the s	49	\$	8	<del>69</del>	\$	ક	45
Aux	Auxiliary									A. C.
-	Title			9	8	43	\$	\$	w	9
8	Title			s	₩	€	\$	₩	G	45
6	Title	The state of the s		\$	\$	\$	<del>()</del>	\$	÷	\$
₽ E	Other Employee Positions	3118	THE PARTY OF THE P							
10	Social Worker	100		\$30000	\$3000	\$40000	\$40000	\$40000	\$40000	\$190000
1	Title	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		\$	₩	s	69	49	↔	9
12	Title	***************************************		es	\$	(A)	€>	<b>G</b>	\$	₩.
13		Subtotal	Subtotal employee costs:	\$146100	\$14610	\$252200	\$252200	\$252200	\$252200	\$1154900
Sub	Substitute, Extra-Duty Pay, Benefits Costs	Pay, Benefits Costs								
14	6112 Substitute pay	pay		\$10000	\$2000	\$20000	\$20000	\$20000	\$20000	\$90000
15	6119 Profession	Professional staff extra-duty pay		\$150000	\$15000	\$315000	\$315000	\$315000	\$315000	\$1410000
16	6121 Support st	Support staff extra-duty pay		€9	₩.	<del>S</del>	es.	<b>↔</b>	<b>69</b>	\$
17	6140 Employee benefits	benefits		\$88830	\$8883	\$170160	\$170160	\$170160	\$170160	\$769470
18	61XX Tuition rer	Tuition remission (IHEs only)		₩	\$	\$	₩	&	\$	40
19	gnS	Subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs	ity, benefits costs	\$248830	\$24883	\$505160	\$505160	\$505160	\$505160	\$2269470
20	Grand total (	Grand total (Subtotal employee costs plus subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs):	its plus subtotal benefits costs):	\$394930	\$394930	\$757360	\$757360	\$757360	\$757360	\$3424370

For guidance on when to submit an amendment for changes to salary amounts in line items and a list of unallowable costs, see the guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance and Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance sections of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)  By TEA staff person:	Jerson:

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Schedule #8—Pro	ofessional	and Contra	Professional and Contracted Services (6200)	es (6200)				
County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907					Amen	dment# (for	Amendment # (for amendments only)	s only):
ant application does not meet	applicable	requiremen	ts for sole-so	urce provide	ırs. TEA's ap	proval of su	ch grant app	the applicable requirements for sole-source providers. TEA's approval of such grant applications does not
Professional and Contracted Services Requiring Specific Approval						-		
Expense Item Description		Year 1	Year 1 Pre-	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted across all Years
Rental or lease of buildings, space in buildings, or land		49	€9	€9	69	40	€	v,
cation and printing costs (specific approval required	only for	€	€	₩	€	₩	₩	v.
nal and contracted services (6200) costs requiring	specific	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	48	\$
Professional Services, Contracted Services, or Subgrants								
# Description of Service and Purpose Si	Check If Subgrant	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted across all Years
1 Tier II & III Academic Interventions – such as Sylvan Leaming		\$92500	\$9250	\$185000	\$185000	\$185000	\$185000	\$832500
2 School Social Work Services – such as Communities in Schools		\$	<del>(A)</del>	\$100000	\$100000	\$100000	\$100000	\$400000
Professional Development – such as Region XIII, Solution Tree, Learning Forward		\$30000	\$3000	\$60000	\$60000	\$60000	\$60000	\$270000
4		G	€>	*	₩	₩	ક	G
2		69	\$	\$	₩	\$	\$	\$
9		æ	s	s	₩.	<b>€</b>	s	<b>\$</b>
		₩	\$	8	ιΑ	<del>69</del>	₩	40
8		\$	ક	ક	<del>(</del> A	<del>69</del>	ક્ક	₩.
6		8	<del>s</del>	\$	G	\$	ક	\$
b. Subtotal of professional services, contracted services, or subgrants:		\$122500	\$12250	\$345000	\$345000	\$345000	\$345000	\$1,502,500
a. Subtotal of professional and contracted services requiring specific approval:	pproval:	\$	s	\$	\$	\$	\$	s
b. Subtotal of professional services, contracted services, or subgrants:		€9	G	69	<sub>6</sub> 9	69	₩.	s
c. Remaining 6200—Professional services, contracted services, or subgrants that do not require specific approval:	grants	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>()</del>	<b>&amp;</b>	\$	\$	s
(Sum of lines a, b, and c)	Grand total	\$122500	\$12250	\$345000	\$345000	\$345000	\$345000	\$1,502,500

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:  Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)  By TEA staff person:	For TEA Use O	only
none/fax/email (circle as appropriate)  By TEA staff person:	e been confirmed with:	this date:
hone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)		
	hone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	TEA staff person:

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

				chedule #9	Schedule #9—Supplies and Materials (6300)	and Materix	(6300) sie					
County	-Dist	trict Num	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227-907	The state of the s				Amenc	Amendment number (for amendments only):	er (for ame	andments o	nly):
			And a few property and a few pro	Exi	<b>Expense Item Description</b>	Description						ALL CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE
			Technology Hardware—Not Capitalized	italized								
	*	Type	Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	Year1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budget Across all Years
6388	4	iPads	Provide remaining teachers with technology tools for technology stations as a part of the research-based best practices supporting small group instruction and station rotations	150	\$500	\$75000	\$7500	e.	e.	<b>ઇ</b> ન	e.	875000
-	2				<del>s</del>	200	2	<b>&gt;</b>	<b></b>	<b>.</b>	•	
	ო				ь							
	4				€							
	ည				ક્ર							
6388	Ě	chnology	Technology software—Not capitalized			↔	₩.	ક	<del>&amp;</del>	₩	\$	w
6388	Sul	pplies an	Supplies and materials associated with advisory council or committee	council or co	mmittee	€9	<del>G</del>	ક્ર	€9	\$	ક	s
			Subtotal supplies and materials requiring		specific approval:	₩	\$	\$	<del>()</del>	₩	\$	\$
		Remain	Remaining 6300—Supplies and materials that do n	it do not requ	ot require specific approval:	\$60000	\$20000	\$60000	\$60000	\$60000	\$60000	\$270000
				9	Grand total:	\$135000	\$27500	00009\$	\$60000	\$60000	\$60000	\$375000

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Ad

For TEA Use Or	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
	And the state of t

2015–2020 Texas Title | Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 20 of 68

10	Schedule #10—Ot	e #10—Other Operating Costs (6400)	g Costs (640	<b>0</b>				
County	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227-907				Amendment r	number (for a	Amendment number (for amendments only):	nnly):
	Expense Item Description	Year 1	Year 1 Pre-	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
6412	Travel for students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations.	e		v	y		¥	**************************************
4	Specify purpose:	<b>→</b>	<b></b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b></b>
6413	Stipends for non-employees (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations)	·	¥	¥	***************************************	4	ď	
5	Specify purpose:	·	·	<b>)</b>	<b>.</b>	·	<b>.</b>	•
6419	Travel for non-employees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations	¥	¥	·	ď	ď	4	•
2	Specify purpose:	<b>.</b>	<b>}</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>)</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b></b>	<b>}</b>
6411/	Travel costs for executive directors (6411); superintendents (6411); or board members (6419); Includes registration fees	<b>*</b>	U	U	v	ď	૯	-
6419	Specify purpose:	<b>.</b>	<del></del>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<del></del>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>3</b>
6429	Actual losses that could have been covered by permissible insurance	49	49	€9	69	es.	s,	\$
6490	Indemnification compensation for loss or damage	es.	€	69	€9	49	\$	•
6490	Advisory council/committee travel or other expenses	\$10000	\$1000	\$10000	\$10000	\$10000	\$10000	\$50000
6499	Membership dues in civic or community organizations (not allowable for university applicants)	<b>4</b>	er.	·	·	·	·	4
	Specify name and purpose of organization:	<b>&gt;</b>	•	<b>•</b>	<del></del>	<b>.</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	•
6499	Publication and printing costs—if reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations)	¥	e.	#	4	ď	·	4
	Specify purpose:	•	•	·	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>.</b>
	Subtotal other operating costs requiring specific approval:	₩		€9	€	8	€9-	8
	Remaining 6400—Other operating costs that do not require specific approval:	\$20000	\$2000	\$20000	\$20000	\$20000	\$20000	\$100000
	Grand total:	\$30000	\$3000	\$30000	\$30000	\$30000	\$30000	\$150000

In-state travel for employees does not require specific approval. Field trips consistent with grant program guidelines do not require specific approval. For more information about field trips as well as a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the Budgeting Costs Guidance Handbook, in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:  Via telenhone/fav/email (circle as annumiate)	Use Only On this date: By TEA staff person:
	יין ויין אינויין

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Standard Application System (SAS)

Texas Education Agency

38	Schedule #11	-Capital	Outlay (6	dule #11—Capital Outlay (6600/15XX)					
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227-907	The state of the s					Amendme	ant number	(for amend	Amendment number (for amendments only):
15XX is only for use by		chools sp	onsored	charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization.	ofit organ	ization.			
# Description/Purpose	Quantify	Unit Cost	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
6669/15XX—Library Books and Media (capitalized and con	trolled by Iil	brary)				Adding the second			
1 N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	\$	ક	ક્ક	<del>6</del>	<del>s)</del>	₩	S
66XX/15XX—Technology hardware, capitalized									
2		\$	es.	ક્ક	<del>63</del>	ક	\$	ક	\$
3		ક	ક્ક	€	€	€>	\$	\$	\$
4		€9-	<del>63</del>	€9	€>	s	\$	<del>6</del>	s,
\$2		€₽	ь	₩	€9	ક	ક	ક	G
9		க	<del>S</del>	\$	€>	<del>s</del>	\$	\$	\$
Automotive and the second and the se		89	<del>63</del>	49	ક્ક	\$	બ	\$	\$
8	ALAMAN TOTAL	65	49	↔	<del>()</del>	\$	₩	8	\$
66XX/15XX—Technology software, capitalized									
6		8	S	ક	<del>\$</del>	\$	8	₩	4
10		\$	<del>(S)</del>	₩	ક્ક	<del>()</del>	₩.	49	s,
		ક્ક	<del>S</del>	\$	ક્ર	<del>69</del>	₩.	<del>(S)</del>	49
12		ક્ર	69	\$	ક્ર	<del>()</del>	ક્ર	ક	•
13		↔	<del>69</del>	↔	8	\$	₩	₩	60
66XX/15XX—Equipment, furniture, or vehicles									
14		€>	ક્ર	₩	ક	₩	ક્ક	ઝ	w
15		क	€>	ક	ક્ક	<del>(</del> )	ઝ	ક્ર	s,
16		€>	↔	\$	63	<del>\$</del>	<b>€</b> Э	\$	₩,
44		€9	₩	\$	ક	₩	<del>69</del>	€9	\$
78		<del>69</del>	<del>s</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	<del>\$</del>	<del>()</del>	<del>⇔</del>	₩.
10		ક્ક	643	ક્ક	\$	\$	↔	₩	<b>U</b>
20	AAATTER.	မာ	63	<del>(/)</del>	<del>63</del>	₩	<del>(A)</del>	₩	49
66XX/15XX—Capital expenditures for improvements to land,	d, buildings,	P	equipment that	t materially	/ increase	their value	e or useful	life	
21			ક્ક	€>	↔	<del>\$</del>	↔	€	*
	Gra	Grand total:	s	<b>4</b> 5	\$	w	<b>G</b>	₩	49
				water and the second se		***************************************	- I		Z marrananamar .

For a a a b list of to cost to

and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

	7 7 7 7 8 8 7 7 8 8 7 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8
 By TEA staff person:	Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)
 Children .	The state of the s
 On this date:	Changes on this page have been confirmed with:
 Jse Only	For TEA Use Only

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 22 of 68

## Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Student Demographics- Data. Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Enrollment	777	4	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American	155	19.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic	591	76.1%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White	19	2.4%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian	3	0.4 %	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Economically disadvantaged	715	92%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Limited English proficient (LEP)	441	56.8%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Special Education	41	5.3%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Disciplinary referrals	227		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in In-School Suspension	186		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in Out-of-School Suspension	41		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in DAEP	0		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary referrals for Truancy	0		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Attendance rate		97%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual dropout rate (Gr 9-12)		N/A	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual graduation rate (Gr 9-12)		N/A	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, mathematics (standard accountability indicator)	N/A	N/A	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, reading / ELA (standard accountability indicator)	282	43	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent students Tested		N/A	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent At/Above Criteria		N/A	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average ACT score (number value, not a percentage)	N/A		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average SAT score (number value, not a percentage)	N/A		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Graduates from Class of 2013 enrolled in a Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)		N/A	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

# Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: Student Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about students that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program.

Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data, related to students seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Applicants must include supporting evidence to explain trends. For example, projected enrollment growth would need to be supported with a report of percent gains in enrollment over the past several years. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Enrollment at Decker Elementary has steadily increased from 707 students in 2010 to 777 students in 2014 due to the expansion of Oak Crest mobile home complex. 76% of our students are Hispanic. 20% of students are African American. The remaining 4% of students are comprised of White, American Indian, Asian, and students of 2 or more races.

Historically, the school loses approximately 20% of our students between 4th and 5th grade as students leave to other campus within or outside the district. Decker has qualified for a USDA grant based on the percentage of student qualified to receive free/reduced lunch. Thus, Decker provides free breakfast and lunch for 100% of our students. Approximately 57% of our students are identified as Limited English Proficient. The number of students identified as SPED dropped from 9% in 2013 to 5% in 2014. Staff cites unclear identification procedures as the reason for this drop as well.

Attendance rates are steady at approximately 97% for grades K-5, but lower in early childhood programs with 93% attendance. Attendance is low for these early childhood programs because of the programs are not full day; they don't accommodate the working parent. In addition, parent awareness of the need for early childhood education is another reason for poor attendance.

The number of discipline referrals increased from 26 in 2013 to 270 in 2014. Administrators cite failure to record incidences prior to 2013-2014 as the reason for the increase. Student to teacher ratio increased from 12:1 between 2011 and 2013 to 18:1 in 2014. Decker has a relatively high teacher turn-over rate with 57% of teachers having 5 or less years of experience.

Enrollment at Decker Elementary decreased from 777 students in 2014 to 724 students in 2015. The change in student demographics was: 81% Hispanic to 76% and 20% African-American to 14.5%. Economically disadvantaged students made up over 98.9% of the population. This has increased from 92% during the 2014 school year.

The number of students identified as Limited English Proficient has grown 4% to 61% over the past years. The number of students identified as special Ed held steady at 5% since 2014.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

## Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Staff Demographics- Data

Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Staff	63.8		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers	40.9	77.5%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Professional Support staff	2.5	3.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	6.0	9.4%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Educational Aides	14.3	22.5%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American Teachers	4.4	10.6%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic Teachers	17.0	41.5%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White Teachers	16.6	40.5%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian Teachers	0.0	0.0%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Beginning Teachers	10.0	24.4%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 1-5 Years Experience	19.9	48.7%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 6-10 Years Experience	4.4	10.6%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 11-20 Years Experience	4.7	11.4%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with over 20 Years Experience	2.0	4.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Beginning Teachers	43500		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 1-5 Years	44270		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 6-10 Years	45452		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 11-20 Years	43123		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 20+ Years	59165		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Staff with less than a bachelor's degree	0	0%	Skyward – Human Resources
Staff with Bachelor's degree as highest level attained	34	61%	Skyward – Human Resources
Staff with Master's degree as highest level attained	22	39%	Skyward – Human Resources
Staff with Doctoral degree as highest level attained	0	0%	Skyward – Human Resources

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Amendment # (for amendments only):  Is staff that was not specifically requested, but is program. Additionally, use this space to describe
us staff that was not specifically requested, but is program. Additionally, use this space to describe
program. Additionally, use this space to describe
e important to understanding your program plan. , no smaller than 10 point.
d, 3 <sup>rd</sup> 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> along with an educational Though the district is working to ensure using interventions beyond the normal tier funds allocated will provided the n regard to literacy.
s are necessary to build the collective 15, Manor ISD constructed with the Decker eplaced 50% of the staff and new to have issues maintaining staff, er Elementary has had 3-4 principals.

The staff is readily focused on increasing student achievement. Efforts have been made to reduce the student to teacher ratio in the 2015-2016 school year.

Other trending data illustrates strong patterns of illiterate students transferring from neighboring districts and a major need for early learning interventions. The poverty in the surrounding community directly impacts the school community. The neighborhood does not have many resources with limits the support teachers can bring into the campus or refer students to while in the community.

ForTFA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County	/-distric	t numbe	r or venc	or ID: 22	27-907				Amen	dment#	(for ame	endment	s only):	
Part 5	Stude	nts to E	e Serve	d with G	rant Fu			umber o e only. U	fstuden	ts in eac	h grade	to be se	rved un	der the
PK (3-4)	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Tota
120	84	92	108	111	119	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	854
								e only. U						Tota
(3-4)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4	3	*	3	0		•	3	10	' '	12	100
10	7	6	6	7	7	7	0	n	0	0	lo	l o	0	50

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

#### Schedule #13—Needs Assessment

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Continuous improvement is a systematic approach in school reform, including processes for data analysis, problem identification, root cause analysis, goal setting, intervention design, implementation, monitoring, and evidenced-based progress reporting.

Part 1: Process Description. Describe the process and activities in which you engaged to conduct a data analysis and needs assessment; and select the model, goals, and interventions to be implemented under this grant. In the description, include the team members involved in the planning process, frequency and timeline of planning meetings, and key activities/strategies used to facilitate decision making.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The campus will hold weekly PLC meetings to develop and review data from formative and summative assessments, district benchmarks, universal screeners, state assessments, behavior data, and attendance data.

Decker Elementary involved the principal, asst. principal(s), counselor, and instructional/literacy/community specialists to plan key components in the selection of the Early Intervention model. The selection of the model is consistent with the district goals and strategic plan.

Key components included the planning process, timelines, data based decisions, goals and critical strategies important to overall student academic success. With the new principal aboard, efforts will be made to continue to utilize the campus advisory team and campus improvement plan to drive the direction of his leadership.

Specific strategies were designed to get parent input consisted of inviting parents to participate in a Principal Profile survey and Reconstitution plan interview. In addition, our district parent specialist will work closely with the campus to ensure compliance and advance parental involvement and engagement programming. Funds will be provided to assist in attending Parent Involvement conferences.

The faculty and staff also participated in a Principal Profile survey and a Campus Needs Assessment. This data was used to select a new principal and provide feedback on the concerns and needs of the staff and parents.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #13Needs					
County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907	Amendment # (for amendments only):				
Part 2: Model Selection and Best-Fit. Indicate the intervent implementation. Response is limited to space provided, front	ion model selected by the district/campus for side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
	add only. Ose Arian long he smaller diam to perma				
☐ Transformation					
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification					
☐ Texas State-Design Model					
	1				
☐ Early Learning Intervention Model					
Z zan, zeaning mining					
☐ Turnaround					
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification					
☐ Whole-School Reform					
Restart					
☐ Closure					
Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected in	tervention model best meets the unique needs of the				
school. Response is limited to space provided, front side on	ly. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
Manor ISD district leadership team has reviewed the historical data from the campus and determined that the lack of early childhood education opportunities for the Decker Elementary school community correlated with the declining student achievement patterns and trends. Specifically, iStation data gathered by Manor ISD indicated that students entering first grade for the first time were behind and showed significant academic gaps.  The Early Learning Intervention Model seemed to address the main area of need to increase literacy and mathematical fluency. The campus advisory team on each campus in the district reviews the campus needs assessment. Parents and community stakeholders also serve on this team. Parent input was collected during the reconstitution process and we believe this model will help address their concerns. Thus, prior to August 2015, Manor ISD decided to begin a Pre-K pilot program. The funding was limited so TTIPS funds are definitely needed to serve all of the students in need within the Decker community. Since the Early Intervention model fit the students' needs best, it was decided it was needed to address the academic needs and ultimately close the student academic gap.					
For TEA  Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:				
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:				

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Model Selection-Stakeholder Input. Please describe how student families and community members were engaged in the needs assessment and planning process:

- Describe specific actions the campus/district took to solicit input from these stakeholders in selecting the model.
- Describe how this input was taken into consideration when selecting the model.
- Describe plans to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Each campus in Manor ISD uses a campus advisory team to make suggestions to the campus principal and district leadership team concerning the direction and improvement of the campus. The team is comprised of campus administrations, teachers, para-professionals, students, community liaisons, and parents. Typically, parent surveys, teacher input, assessment data, universal screener data issued to make decisions about program adoption and major intervention systems. Based on the input provided and available to the campus and district leadership teams, Manor ISD moved forward with the selection of the Early Intervention Model.

It was the overwhelming best choice available. Implementing a full day Pre-K and Kindergarten program is a big task for our district. The processes and program requirements will ensure we provide a sound curriculum and implement best practices in our early learning intervention model. This model will help our students that consistently show to be behind and work to decrease the significant learning gaps.

Once the Early Intervention Model is in place, parents, community and important stakeholders will be kept informed on an ongoing basis by utilizing following:

- Progress reports throughout the school year (monthly parent nights)
- Informational parent conferences that will provide specific student academic progress data
- Decker Elementary website postings

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

## Schedule #14—Management Plan

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Staff Role and Qualifications. List campus and district personnel projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Include all positions funded in whole or part by grant resources, along with those personnel involved in the implementation, but not funded through the grant. Provide a brief description of the position role/function in the grant; and desired qualifications, type and years of experience, and requested certifications. Ensure that the list and descriptions demonstrate the district will provide effective oversight and support for implementation of the selected model.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications	TTIPS Funded
1.	Manor ISD Superintendent	Ensure operational flexibility for hiring, removal of staff, and scheduling	M.S. Education. >10 yrs Experience; Superintendent certificate	
2.	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) (required)	Work with campus administration & district departments to established goals & objectives; implement improvement plans.	M.S. Education. >10 yrs Experience; Principal certificate	
3.	Federal & State Programs Coordinator	Review campus grant budgets for compliance and to ensure utilization of funds.	M.S. Education. >10 yrs Experience; Principal certificate	
4.	TTIPS Grant Coordinator	Support the coordinator of external service providers, grant program and budget oversight, compliance with TTIPS requirements	B.S. Education. >5 yrs Relate Experience, Teaching certificate	x
5.	Chief Academic Officer	Oversee: Campus Principals, Curriculum & Instruction, Career and Technical Education, Counseling, College Readiness, After-school.	M.S. Education. >30 yrs Experience; Principal certificate	
6.	Math & ELA Executive Coordinator(s)	Provide district-wide curriculum, assessment, and professional development, & teacher induction program	M.S. Education. >12 yrs Experience; Teaching certificate	
7.	Principal, Associate, & Assistant Principal	Coordinate, evaluate, monitor Program adoption, implementation & compliance for continuous improvement.	M.S. Educational Administration. Principal certification >5 yrs Experience	
8.	Instructional Coach	Accountability/Data Specialist – train staff on, collect, monitor, and present data.	B.S. Education. >5 yrs Experience, Teaching certificate	х
9.	Social Worker	Increase Parental Involvement & support students with none academic issues.	MSW - Social Work. >5 yrs Experience	х
10.	Teacher Leader(s)	Collaborate with staff in the use of quality data to guide instruction.	B.S. Education. >5 yrs experience, Teaching certificate	
11.	Counselor	Work with students on Bully prevention, PBIS, and social skills lessons. Local funded position.	M.S. Counseling >5 yrs Experience in schools. Counselor certification.	
12.	Education Aide(s)	Provide extended learning opportunities for PreK-K students	Associate Degree >2 yrs Experience	x

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency
------------------------

Standard Application System (SAS)

## Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: External Provider Role and Qualifications. List all external provider contractors/consultants, selected by the district/campus, that are projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Provide a brief description of the provider's unique function in the grant; and desired qualifications, experience, and requested certifications. Do not include contractors/consultants provided by the TTIPS SEA office (PSP, TCDSS or TEA staff). Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

#

1.

2

Title

Role/Function in Grant

Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications

SYLVAN LEARNING: will expand intervention capacity by providing reading and math tutorials for Tier III and II students before and after the school day. In addition, Sylvan staff will provide training to classroom teachers on small group instructional strategies each semester during the school year. Sylvan is the leading provider of supplemental education in the nation, with a proven record over thirty years of helping students achieve their full academic potential. Sylvan Learning of Austin is a locally-owned franchise operating six private learning centers and experience managing multiple contracts with local public schools including Austin ISD, Round Rock ISD and IDEA Charter Schools. The Sylvan Director of Educational Partnerships has experience as an assistant principal in a public elementary school. Sylvan tutors will be highly trained college-degreed professionals and may also have a state teaching certificate.

In recent years, Sylvan developed programs that can be delivered outside our learning centers to benefit campuses and students who need extra support to reach grade level expectations. Sylvan has provided math and reading tutoring to more than 5,000 Austin ISD students on 12 different Title I primary and secondary campuses during the school day. Since 2009, we have also served students through contracts with the Boys and Girls Clubs, YMCA and Communities in Schools. Results with Sylvan:

- Engaged students in classroom and tutorials
- Improved test scores

During the 2013-2014 school year, the number of 2<sup>nd</sup> grade students reading on grade level more than doubled at Ortega Elementary School in the Austin ISD, following one school year of Sylvan High Dosage Tutoring in reading.

During the 2014-2015 school year, every 9th grader at Eastside Memorial HS in Austin ISD received Sylvan HDT in Algebra I & 84% of these students passed the Algebra I End of Course Exam. These same students grew on average more than 2.8 grade equivalents in one school year as measured by Sylvan pre- & post-tests.

TTIPS FUNDED

## **AFTERSCHOOL PROGRAM VENDORS:**

The campus leadership team will convene to review the student interests and campus needs assessment to identify types of programs to enrichment students staying for tutorials or students looking for additional campus involvement opportunities. In previous years program providers selected by campus stakeholders found the following programs provided excellent services. The campus team will look to employ/contract with programs such as or similar to: Boys and Girls Club, Mad Science, Badger Dog, Austin Spurs, Girl Start, United Way, Citizen Schools, or Communities in Schools.

TTIPS FUNDED

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

	Education	
nhaifikkkamakkan:	alleginerge uden overmiere	MARKANIA TARRINA TARAK

Standard Application System (SAS)

## Schedule #14--Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Commitment and Succession. Describe how the campus and district will ensure that all project participants remain committed to the project's success. Describe your succession management strategies and how this will enable the campus and district to deliver continuous high-quality programming when there are changes in key project personnel. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The goal of Manor ISD is to ensure all schools perform at a high level. The district will align hiring, evaluation, and planning processes to ensure enduring project success. The district will also establish a "learning bridge" between targeted schools and high performing schools to ensure teachers and leaders consistently interact with a model environment and practices. There will be widespread training in the key systems to ensure the systems do not exit if key personnel leaves.

District Leadership has established district-wide ownership and accountability for Decker Elementary School by directing all district departments to actively support DES. The District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) and Coordinator of Continuous Improvement also are assigned to work closely with the campus leadership team to ensure successful implementation of interventions and strategies. The DCSI will provide weekly updates to District Leadership and ensure communication consistently flows to and from stakeholders. Also, the campus Advisory Team consisting of teachers, parents, community members, business members, campus leadership, and district personnel will regularly review programming strategies.

ForTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

## Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Sustainability. What elements of your proposed project are designed to significantly increase capacity or create a lasting change to campus culture and practices that shall be sustained after the grant period ends? How will the LEA provide continued funding and support to sustain the reform after the grant period ends? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The implementation of the Professional Learning Community (PLC) model will create significant and continuous improvement. Fully implementing the PLC model will ensure that the school will reexamine their mission, vision, and core values, and align those organizational elements to high student achievement. The PLC model will also ensure initial and ongoing professional development around collaboration, identifying essential standards, creating common formative assessments, and providing additional support and extension for students. The PLC model will also strengthen instructional leadership and campus climate by creating shared leadership with teacher team leaders and other stakeholders.

Manor ISD will sustain this effort, by consistently providing in house training to school leaders and teacher leaders in the PLC model, identifying high quality PLC conferences for leaders to attend, and aligning hiring, evaluation and coaching components to the PLC model. The Texas Principal Evaluation and Support System (T-PESS) will be used by Manor ISD to coach and evaluate the school leader. Critical competencies of T-PESS include "The principal implements daily schedules and a year-long plan for regular data-driven instruction cycles..." "The principal monitors multiple forms of student data to inform instruction and intervention decisions to maximize student achievement, and "The principals ensures implementation of state and district curricula and assessments aligned with state standards..." Each of the aforementioned competencies, as well as many others will be self-assessed by the school leader, coached, progressed monitored, and evaluated. The district will also use a teacher evaluation system that aligns to the PLC model.

Resources from this grant and other various grants will ensure that all stakeholders understand the PLC model and its link to increased student achievement, establish a common vocabulary and understanding, are trained and continuously developed in this model, and are financially rewarded for increased student achievement. The ongoing professional development and financial incentive for increased student achievement will positively affect teacher and leader retention.

The district and campus advisory teams will work together with an outside expert to determine the implementation timeline and important benchmarks to monitor. The timeline and benchmarks will be closely monitored by the Superintendent and district leadership. District leadership will also provide consistent feedback and support.

The resources from TTIPS will ensure that this transformation is complete and sustainable. An aligned mission, vision, and values, guaranteed and viable curriculum, ongoing embedded professional development, data driven instruction, and an informed, robust intervention system will become the culture of the school, rather than practices that exit with a few key leaders.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

### Schedule #15—Project Evaluation

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Establishing Performance Measures. Describe the processes used to establish challenging yet attainable performance measures that will result in substantially improved student achievement and the campus' ability to exit lowest-performing status. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The campus leadership team with meet with grade level and department teams to review the data from the previous school year. The staff will review the current students and set a goal based on the current student achievements and deficiencies. Ongoing data review from formative and summative assessments will help guide instruction to ensure goal obtainment. The following protocols will be established:

- Campus Data Analysis by individual teachers and Staff during PLC
- Data to implement small group and stations in order to differentiate
- Ongoing Campus Assessments formative and summative assessments

Part 2: Data Collection. Describe the processes for collecting data at a detailed level to inform effectiveness of each intervention. Data at a detailed level would include examples such as: participation rates at the activity-level, dosage rates of an intervention per student, teacher practice observed rates at the targeted strategy-level, or academic outcome data at the activity-level per student. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The overall responsibility for implementing the project's evaluation plan for qualitative and quantitative data collection methods, at the campus level, is the responsibility of the Campus Principal and designated staff. The Campus Principal will ensure that qualitative and quantitative data is collected for the school. Data collection methods will include project records, student records, test results, and survey results – including but not limited to: student and faculty climate surveys conducted annually; student achievement and test scores reported and evaluated by grading period, semester, and annually; numbers of parents, community members, students and faculty participating in project activities reported annually; and student surveys and evaluation of work-based learning experiences reported by semester.

Multiple types of data will be collected for the entire grant period, including data for (1) **student performance indicators** (e.g., overall student academic achievement; state assessment test results by subject, and grade level; percentage of students performing at/above grade level by subject area; attendance and promotion rates; and disciplinary referrals); and (2) **staff development and parent involvement and training indicators** (e.g., what is the impact of the staff development and parent involvement and training). The evaluation plan will both aggregate and disaggregate measures of student academic achievement, by sub-populations of students (i.e., African American, Hispanic, White, Native American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Male, Female, Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and Limited English Proficient).

Attendance and grade data will be collected on the district's grading program, Skyward (which also reports on failure, attendance, and other information regarding student performance in classes). Campus administrators will run these reports each grading cycle to determine failure rates by grade, teacher, subject, ethnicity and other demographic factors relevant to assuring all students are successful. In addition, the school, on a weekly basis, will review discipline data, grades, and attendance. Parents will also be empowered by being informed how to use Skyward to monitor, via a computer with Internet access and by cell phone, their student's academic progress and class attendance rates. Climate surveys will be used to assess how well instruction has improved.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

### Schedule #15—Project Evaluation (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Assessing effectiveness of interventions. Describe the processes and staff responsible for assessing the effectiveness of program activities and interventions on an ongoing basis. How are problems with project delivery to be identified and corrected throughout the project?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

- 1. Student Academic Performance (Overseers of Data gathering and analysis are Teachers, Principal and Assistant Principal)
  - a. Data to be gathered
    - i. Formative & Summative Student Assessments
    - ii. Benchmarks
    - iii. STAAR/End-of-course exam grades
  - b. Ongoing monitoring and data collection
    - i. Teachers
    - ii. Principal
    - iii. Assistant Principal
- 2. Staff Effectiveness
  - a. Participation in Professional Learning Communities (PLC)
    - i. Participation in Professional Development as needs are assessed through data gathered during PLC time
  - b. Texas Teacher Evaluation Support System (T-TESS)
  - c. Texas Principal Evaluation Support System (T-PESS)
  - d. Peer observations/Instructional Coach Observations
    - i. Proactive and Informal; done with the intent to support instruction before formal evaluation
  - e. Ongoing informal teacher observations by principal
- 3. Increase Positive School Climate and School Safety
  - a. Data to be Gathered
    - i. Use PEIMS Data (e.g. discipline data, attendance)
    - ii. School Climate Survey (Principal, Teachers/Staff)
  - b. Ongoing monitoring and data collection
    - i. Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) Committee
    - ii. Assistant Principal
    - iii. Attendance Coordinator
- 4. Increase Parent/Community Involvement
  - a. Data to be gathered
    - i. Parent survey
    - ii. Community/Parent Volunteer Logs
  - b. Ongoing Monitoring and data collection
    - i. Parent Advisory Committee
    - ii. Parent Event/PTO Attendance

The above processes for gathering and reviewing data will help the effectiveness of the programs activities ad interventions on an ongoing basis. At each category data is collected, assessed, and an adjustment of intervention will follow. As problems arise, the list contact persons will be responsible for crafting a feasible solution. In the event that campus leadership continues to struggle with an issue, district support will ensue. The following persons are readily available to problem solve: District Coordinator of School Improvement, Federal Programs Coordinator, and Chief Academic Officer and their corresponding departments and teams.

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 1: Describe your rigorous review process used to select highest-quality and best-fit external providers for your project. Include processes to:

- Identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective external providers
- Assess level of experience in delivering the work
- Determine a history of prior success; consistent strong results in similar projects
- Conduct a risk-assessment related to contracting
- Execute final selection and procurement

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The Federal Program Coordinator (FPC) was hired on July 27, 2015. After leadership training and job orientation, the TTIPS grant was presented as a priority project. The FPC immediately called a meeting with the campus stakeholders to review the campus needs assessments and campus improvement plans. Discussions were centered on interventions that worked and didn't work in the previous school year. Stakeholder input was collected on adjustments to the previously determined unsuccessful interventions.

Reviewing the data and determining the high needs for the campus, it was determined that programming would need to include only evidenced and research-based solutions. Upon determining appropriate vendors, previous success with the school district and surrounding school district was used to give priority for the pool of possible vendors. Another factored considered was feasibility of the organization to support the addition of another organization to its current list of contractors, the ability to support the grant writing process, provide the needed assessment and evaluation related data, and maintained a reputable reputation in the central Texas education community.

When contracting vendors, there is always some risk of underperformance promised. Thus, when selecting a vendor, the campus reviewed multiple years and contract results to determine an average of proven results to make the selection determination.

Upon evaluation of vendors with these criterion, the following vendors emerged: Sylvan Learning, Communities in Schools, Life Anew – Restorative Justice.

**Sylvan Learning** already provides services to multiple campuses in the Austin ISD and has been credited to helping to save Eastside Memorial High School, a campus in East Austin traditional known for poor student achievement.

Communities in Schools - Central Texas has an impeccable recovered for reducing dropout rates, risky behavior and improving student achievement by addressing communal roadblocks to student learning.

**Life Anew – Restorative Justice –** provides services currently at 2 campuses in the Manor ISD. The Superintendent was extremely satisfied with the results so he renewed the funding to those campuses provides a staff member to help the University of Texas Social Work program to train other districts wishing to employ that program.

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Vla telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 2: External Provider Oversight. Describe your rigorous and ongoing process to provide oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. Include in the description:

- Proposed schedule to regularly review external provider performance
- · Campus/district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers
- Process/instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers
- Corrective actions or additional supports utilized to improve provider performance
- Criteria/sequence of actions to be taken to remove/replace a low performing provider

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

## Proposed Schedule:

- Standing meeting with all providers (liaisons/proxies) twice each month
- Other meetings will be scheduled as needed.

### Oversight:

- Mr. Sal Vega, Principal: Primary overseer
- Brandon Powell & Vikki McCoin, Assistant Principals: Overseer, proxy when Principal is absent.
- Campus Leadership Team (composed of teachers and other staff): Provide support for oversight by assisting with data analysis and communicating new and ongoing needs of campus to overseers.

### Process/Instruments

- Each provider has his or her own form of data collection. Each provider will provide a written report at the monthly standing meetings (twice each month).
- The reports will be expected to be consistent in an easy-to-read manner with corresponding visual, numerical, and qualitative data.

# Improving Provider Performance

- Should performance be declining, providers will be expected to provide possible rationale for the decline in performance as part of their monthly written report.
- Providers will be expected to provide written action items accordingly and based on the rationale provided in the written monthly report
- Standing monthly meetings and other meetings as needed will serve as a place for communicating needs of the provider to support their efforts and the success of program participants

## Removing a Provider:

- 1. Written report with action items to correct decline/poor performance either by the program or individual to be turned into the overseer.
- 2. If new action items to not yield favorable results, the overseer(s) will require a new program proposal to revamp the program. Additionally, or instead of the above stated, a new liaison/program leader change will be requested. This could be done before, after, or in conjunction with a request by the overseer for a new program proposal
- 3. Program provider will be changed and replaced with another that can provide similar services.

ForTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 4: Coordinated and Integrated Efforts. Describe any ongoing, existing efforts that are similar or related to the planned project. How will you coordinate efforts to maximize effectiveness of grant funds? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The City of Manor and the student enrollment for Manor Independent School District (MISD) has had continued growth, even during the 2008 housing crisis. Manor ISD has been in constant communication with community members, business partners, parents, city/county officials, and other stakeholders about our intent to provide more innovative programming opportunities to our students and families. In 2012 Manor ISD began a journey to work on projects of the community's interest. Committees were formed to assess facilities, academic programs, and services to the community. Results sparked a campaign entitled "Academics Above Everything." This meant Manor ISD would focus on removing all barriers to ensuring student academic success.

The following programs and efforts resulted from these efforts:

- \$124.9 Million Bond Upgrade facilities, address growth, instructional program needs, safety and security, facility repairs, a new middle school, a new elementary school, improvements to MISD campuses and facilities, land for new school sites, and school buses.
- FALL 2016 In partnership with Austin Community College, High School juniors and seniors can earn
  certifications to work as nursing assistants, medical assistants and computer technicians. Certification programs
  are also offered in heating and air conditioning, electrical pre-apprenticeship and mechatronics.
- Manor ISD partnered with Austin Travis County Integral Care and People's Community Clinic to create the
   <u>Manor Mustang Health Center</u>; which provides: (1) well baby visits and annual well child checks, (2) acute sick
   visits, (3) Chronic disease management (asthma, diabetes, obesity, etc.), (4) Any needed vaccines, and (5)
   referrals to behavioral health specialists (Traumatic event or history of trauma, depression, aggression,
   suicide/homicide thoughts, alcohol/drug/child abuse, bullying/harassment).

As Manor ISD worked to build these opportunities for the entire school community of Manor, attention was focused to TEA identified priority schools. The superintendent worked with the executive cabinet, school principals, teachers, students, school board trustees, and parents to create a system approach to transforming all priority schools with whole-school reform approaches. Manor ISD wanted to ensure all departments and partnering agencies reviewed the priority campus needs and created plans of action to address them. As a result, the following programs and strategies were implemented to begin the process of campus reform:

- Piloting new approaches to disruptive student behavior by training identified staff to provide and participate in restorative justice practices.
- Adding an additional counseling staff to help support non-academic socio-emotional student needs and adapt a
  focus towards college and career readiness.

Manor ISD will use the TTIPS funds to supplement the efforts already in motion. This includes: providing the campus with a MSW Clinical Social Worker to therapeutic groups on campus, connect students and parents with services at the Manor Mustang Health Center, and increase parent involvement & engagement; hiring instructional coach(es) to disaggregate data, train teachers in instruction strategies and classroom culture best practices, monitor ongoing assessments, training teachers in formative and summative assessment creation & implementation, train teachers in using data during professional learning communities to drive instruction, contracting additional academic support with an entity success as Sylvan Learning, a national leader in tutorial services, to increase student academic achievement in reading and math, as well as increase learning time; and provide small group interventions. TTIPS funds will extend the existing services provided by local district funding. Manor Independent School District will pilot a small preschool opportunity for students. A small amount of students from the Decker Elementary School community will be able to participate with the existing efforts by the district. With the TTIPS funds, we'll be able to extend program services to standalone facilities for our preschool program and serve more students. Programs not yet created will be started with TTIPS funds and later sustained by district funds.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS)
Sch	edule #16—Responses to St	atutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vendo	r ID: 227-907	Amendment # (for amendments only):
who led the school prior to the of first year at the applicant organot have been principal of the respond to the prompts in the talk Applicants not proposing a Transport of the Transport of the Transport of the Transpor	FORMATION, EARLY LEARN ommencement of the model. Some price inization must have began a papilicant organization price ble below.  In a price in the	IING or TURNAROUND model must replace the principal Specifically, for Cycle 4 implementation, the principal's t or during school year 2014-2015. The principal may r to school year 2014-2015. These applicants shall Turnaround model, shall indicate below with "N/A".
Name of principal who will be in place through the implementation of the model:	Salvador Vega	
Hire date, or anticipated hire date of the principal who will be in place for implementation of the model:	resigned. Mr. Vega has Director of School Impro improve teacher quality,	nired August 2015. The previous 2 principals been working tirelessly with Michael Perkins, our vement (DCSI), to ensure we are target to student achievement, and leadership nvolvement, and school climate.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 7: Evaluation Systems for Teachers and Principals, accounting for student growth
Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must use a
rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system that takes into account student growth as a significant factor.
Please review the description of requirements of the evaluation systems under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions
and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Manor ISD will pilot the <u>TEXAS TEACHER EVALUATION SYSTEM (T-TESS)</u>. Student growth is measured by student academic progress during his or her time with a particular teacher. It takes into consideration a student's entering achievement when measuring how much the student grew over the year, and, as opposed to measuring student proficiency on a single assessment, student growth isn't concerned with whether or not a student passes a test. By measuring growth, a teacher who has students who enter multiple years behind grade level could still demonstrate his or her effectiveness based on how much those students progress during that year. Students who move from three years behind to two years behind make considerable growth, and although a proficiency measure would still show those students as unable to pass the test, student growth would capture the remarkable progress (two years worth) those students made during their time with that teacher. The district has four options for measuring student growth: 1) value-add scores for teachers in tested subjects in grades 5 through End-of-Course exams (EOCs); 2) student learning objectives (SLOs); 3) portfolios; and 4) district-level pre- and post-tests.

<u>T-TESS</u> has three measures of teacher effectiveness. The three measures are: <u>observation, teacher self-assessment, and student growth</u>. Entering the pilot year, the following percentages were assigned to determining the overall rating – Observation 70%, Teacher Self-Assessment 10% and Student Growth 20%. In the spring of 2015, rules (Texas Administrative Code) will define specifics as to the process and methodology used for determining scores.

<u>T-PESS</u> has three measures of principal effectiveness. The three measures are: <u>a rubric capturing the effective practices of high-performing principals</u>, <u>progress in achieving goals and initiatives</u>, <u>and student growth</u>. For statewide implementation, the following percentages would be assigned to determining the overall rating:

Experience as principal on particular campus	Rubric	Goal-Setting	Student Growth
0 years	70%	30%	0%
1 year	70%	20%	10%
2 or more years	60%	20%	20%

<u>T-TESS</u> was developed by a steering committee comprised of teachers, principals, and representatives from higher education and educator organizations. They began their work in the fall of 2013 by updating teacher standards and, through the spring of 2014, continued with building a rubric tied to the standards. While the Texas Comprehensive Center at SEDL and the Texas Education Agency (TEA) facilitated the process, T-TESS is a system designed by educators to support teachers in their professional growth.

<u>T-PESS:</u> Starting in the spring of 2012, TEA worked with a principal advisory committee to build principal standards. This committee began by determining best practices for principals to be effective leaders and improve student performance, primarily by reframing the central role of the principal as the instructional leader of a campus. This work concluded in the fall of 2013 with a comprehensive set of principal standards that capture the aspirational practices all principals can strive toward regardless of their level of experience or the context of their position. During the spring of 2014, a principal steering committee, comprised of campus principals, central office administrators, members of the higher education community, and principal association members, was convened to build a state principal evaluation system. The committee developed an evaluation system tied to the principal standards and focused on creating a process that would be used for continuous professional growth. The system they created will provide actionable, timely feedback that will allow principals to reflect consistently on their practice and strive to implement those practices that would improve their performance.

FORTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 8: Educator Reward and Removal

Applicants proposing a **TRANSFORMATION**, **TEXAS STATE-DESIGN**, or **EARLY LEARNING** model must have protocols to identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who have increased student achievement; and identify/remove those who have not improved their professional practice. Please review the description of requirements for educator reward and removal under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the rewards available for educators who have increased student achievement in implementing the model: The campus professional staff will, other staff working directly in the classroom setting may, be eligible for financial incentives for increasing student achievement and improving professional practice. The reward system will be established to reward designated campus staff based on campus growth goals, staff's individual T-TESS/T-PESS Evaluation, and Professional Development and Professional Responsibilities.

- Campus Growth Goals 50%
  - o Well Above-100%, Above-90%, At-80%, Below-50%, Well Below-0%
- T-TESS/T-PESS 25%
  - Distinguished-100%, Accomplished-90%, Proficient-70%, Developing-50%, Improvement Needed-30%, Growth Plan/TINA-0%
- Professional Development/Responsibilities 25%
  - o Met (100%), Not Met (0%)

Describe protocols/interventions to support teachers who are struggling to improve professional practice:

The idea behind T-TESS and T-PESS is to provide teachers with more information and support as they develop as educators, not to create a punitive system. Instructional coaches, campus administrators, and central office curriculum staff will all be available to review instructional practices, formative/summative assessment data, teacher self-assessment and goals, and professional responsibilities/development plans for teachers in need of support. Create professional learning library. Research-based best practices will be provided, staff may model interventions, offer opportunities to observe other classrooms, or other best practices to improve teacher performance.

Describe the criteria established for educator removal:

Personnel decisions are NOT the driving force behind this evaluation. That said, personnel decisions are based on multiple factors. The following criteria will be established for educator removal:

- Criteria 1
  - o Professional Development/Responsibilities Not Met
  - T-TESS/T-PESS = Improvement Needed or Growth Plan/TINA
- Criteria 2
  - Campus Growth Goal = Below or Well Below, and
  - T-TESS/T-PESS = Developing, Improvement Needed, or Growth Plan/TINA, or
  - o Professional Development/Responsibilities Not Met

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:  On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS)
Sch	nedule #16—Responses to	Statutory Requirements (cont.)
implemented for all students in High School (ECHS). Please Assurances. These applicants shall respond shall indicate below with "N/A".	eveloping an Early College STATE-DESIGN model must the school, which is consiste review the description of the to the prompts in the table b	Amendment # (for amendments only): school-wide strategy It deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, Int with the Texas concept for developing an Early College Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and elow. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
Identify the IHE partner in place for the early college high school development and implementation. Include the title/role of the IHE primary point of contact, and essential agreements reached at this point:	N/A	
Propose an Exemplar Early College High School partner campus in place to serve as the demonstration site/model school. Explain why this school is an good partner for your development:	N/A	
Describe the sustainable source of funds or fee waiver plan that will enable students to access college courses, TSI assessments, textbooks and college fees; without cost to the student:	N/A	
*	ForTFA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been of	ggyalaren betrokken paraturak gapanggan propier i bryakter briteria beraken alam bir berak i belar da ar bayang d	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as a	pomoriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 11: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued)

Applicants proposing a **TEXAS STATE-DESIGN** model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the processes the LEA/campus will take to build the number of college courses available to students to gain during high school to a minimum of six (6) by the start of the 2016-2017 to sixty (60) by the start of 2017-2018 school year:

N/A

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 12: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued)

Applicants proposing a **TEXAS STATE-DESIGN** model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the academic, social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:

N/A

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 13: High-quality preschool programming

Applicants proposing the EARLY LEARNING INTERVENTION model must deliver a preschool program that meets the definition included in program federal requirements and is integrated in a campus-wide school improvement model. Please review the description of requirements under the Early Learning Intervention model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing an Early Learning Intervention model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

Describe the schedule and staffing pattern for the full-day preschool that will meet standards for high qualification of staff, required child-to-staff ratios, required class size limitations and comparable staff salaries.

Indicate if the campus will partner with community-based provider to deliver the preschool.

The first year program will hire (local funds) 10 Early Childhood Certified teachers as well as 10 teacher assistants to teach in 10 full day classrooms at Presidential Meadows Elementary. The 10 teachers and 10 EA s will provide instruction for DE and PME children that qualify for Pre-k. Each classroom will have teachers and teacher assistants hired with Manor ISD. The teacher/student ratio will not exceed 1-12. All teachers will be placed on the same pay scale as all the other teachers in Manor ISD. There will be 2 wrap around teachers on the campus that will allow the 3yr olds to stay all day once they complete their ½ day of Pre-k.

An Early Childhood Center will be constructed for year 2 at Oak Meadows Elementary School. This will be done using a pre-fab building. Decker Elementary does not have the space to house this new program. Oak Meadows has the space to accommodate a building that can house 10-12 classrooms. An inclusion classroom would also be a part of the Early Childhood Center. Each classroom would have a certified E.C. teacher and an assistant to lower the teacher/student ratio. The maximum ratio would be 1-to-10 with the assistant. The maximum number of children per classroom would be 20-22 and the inclusion classroom would have a maximum of 16 children and additional assistants depending on the student needs. All staff would be MISD staff except for the Child, Inc. assistants. One classroom would be identified as Child Inc. and that classroom would provide comprehensive services due to the collaboration with Head Start; the children are dually enrolled (qualify for Child, Inc. and Manor ISD Pre-K).

The Special Education Department will also collaborate with the Early Childhood Department to determine eligible students for the inclusion classroom.

The administrative staff will consist of 1 Executive Coordinator, 1 Administrative Assistant, and 1 Education Assistant.

Describe how the preschool program proposed is: research-based; vertically aligned in math, science, literacy, language through the elementary grades; and develops socio-emotional skills:

The 3yr Pre-K classrooms will use Teaching Strategies Gold Curriculum and the 4yr Pre-k classrooms will use Our World to Learning (OWL) which both are on the state adopted list. The curriculum is research based and offers unit lessons on the following topics: Literacy, Science, Math, Social Studies, Technology, and Social Emotional. In addition to this curriculum. The center will implement Becky Bailey's "Conscious Discipline" in response to a strong social emotional need among the Pre-k 3yr old program as well as the 4yr old program. The teachers will plan as a team so that the classrooms demonstrate a unified planning and implementation. There will also be cross-curricular planning that involves the 3yr Pre-k and 4yr Pre-k. In addition to that planning, there will be a monthly planning meeting with the kindergarten team that is housed inside of PME.

Describe the student assessment data that will be examined for the preschool and kindergarten classes that inform continuous improvement and next-grade readiness:

C-PALLS is the assessment instrument that will be used by the Pre-k 4yrs and Teaching Strategies Gold Curriculum, which is the assessment including benchmarks associated with the child's developmental level, will be used for the 3yr Pre-k children. In addition to the assessment tool mentioned above, each teacher and classroom will be evaluated using CLASS (evaluates the teacher/student interactions, relationships, etc.). Each will be very informed to conduct authentic assessment on each of their children.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
Sch	nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Re	equirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	or ID: 227-907	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Applicants proposing a TURNA environment. In screening all ereview the description of require Schedule #2 Provisions and As These applicants shall respond indicate below with "N/A".	creening and Selecting Staff ROUND model must measure the effective existing staff, no more than 50% may be resements for educator screening and selections are surrances.	veness of staff to work in the turnaround chired to work in the turnaround model. Please ing staff under the turnaround model in ants not proposing a Turnaround model shall
Describe process for screening all staff that existed prior to implementation of the turnaround model, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A	
Indicate the number of existing staff rehired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A	
Describe process for selecting new staff, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A	
Indicate the number of new staff hired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A	
Indicate the start date for the new turnaround implementation staff; including rehires and new hires:	N/A	
a ka kanda kika ki da dapar Li sun yakan kanaranan a sanca		
	For TEA Use Only	

For	ΓΕΑ Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
via telepitolieriaxelliali (circle as appropriate)	by the stati person.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 17: Operations under a Charter School Operator, CMO or EMO.

Applicants proposing a RESTART model must convert or reopen the school under a charter school operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO); using a rigorous review process to select a provider who will restart the organization. Please review the description of requirements under the Restart model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

In the space below, these applicants shall describe the rigorous process to be used to select the restart organization; criteria used for selection; timeline for provider selection; and anticipated date for school reopening/conversion. Applicants not proposing a Restart model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.  N/A
N/A

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

xas Education Agency	Standard Application System (S/
Schedule #16—Responses to S	
ounty-district number or vendor ID: 227-907 tatutory Requirement 18: Enrollment in higher achieving	
pplicants proposing a <b>CLOSURE</b> model must enroll student asonable proximity to the closed school.	
hese applicants shall describe the processes, key activities, ansition students to higher achieving school in the space be dicate below with "N/A".	, and timeline they will undertake within one year in order to slow. Applicants not proposing a Closure model shall
esponse is limited to space provided, front side only. Use A	rial font, no smaller than 10 point.
/A	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

# Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

TEA Program Requirement 1: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for all students.

List the most important areas in which the campus will achieve increased academic performance through an improved

inst	ructional progra	im through this grant. It to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
fa train	tical Success Factor:	Academic Performance/Improve the Instructional Program		
		Planned Intervention		od for entation
1.	and research Teacher leade	vill participate in professional development that is data driven, content specific based to help with the overall growth of the academic program. Campus and ers will attend the PLC Institute hosted by Solution Tree and will provide a all faculty and staff on campus.	<ul><li>✓ Year 1</li><li>✓ Year 2</li><li>✓ Year 3</li></ul>	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.	Pinnell, Regio	vill contract with outside organizations such as Heinemann, Fountas and n XIII and others to support the professional development related to balanced d reading, and reading intervention.	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
3.		vill increase the number of teachers and leaders who are trained in AVID same sand expand the AVID system campus wide.	⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
4.	The campus v develop and a and extension	will have weekly PLC meetings to ensure a guaranteed and viable curriculum, analyze common formative assessments, and provide targeted intervention strategies	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
5.	Purchasing in other literary s	structional carpets for classrooms that reinforce small group, stations, and strategies.	⊠ Year1 □ Year2 □ Year3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5
6.			Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4
7.			☐ Year 1☐ Year 2☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Cakadula #47 Dae	nanege to TEA Droge	am Requirements (cont.)
Officult # I/	DONOCO LO LLA FILOUI	atility administration (court)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

TEA Program Requirement 2: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.

Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

4. " 1. " 1. " 1. 45 · 15 · 15 · 15 · 15 · 15 · 15 · 15 ·		A 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	
Critica	I Quic		
CHILLE	Jule	<u> </u>	
<b>—</b>	10.2 1 11.1	6.00	
<b> </b>	ctor:	100	

Increase Teacher Quality

	Planned Intervention	Period Impleme	the state of the state of
	All Pre-k teachers will attend monthly professional development at the district level as well as mentoring (for new pre-k teachers) and coaching (for new to the grade level as well as	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year 4
1.	growing teachers). Peer training will also be offered to all teachers in the form of teachers	☑ Year 2	☐ Year 5
	being allowed to visit peers in their classrooms on their campus and other campuses.	⊠ Year3	
	Teachers will attend additional professional development prior to district mandated professional development. Teachers will receive ongoing PD on the PLC model to engage	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year 4
2.	in cycles of inquiry and action research around units of instruction, using common formative	⊠ Year2	⊠ Year 5
	assessment to determine effectiveness and professional learning about the standards and instructional strategies	⊠ Year3	
	Provide an additional instructional coach to focus on supporting teacher in grades K-1	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year 4
3.		⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
		☑ Year 3	
	Provide teachers with opportunities to visit high performing classrooms outside of the district	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year4
4.	with a strong PLC and balanced literacy program.	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year5
		⊠ Year 3	
	Create Master Teacher criteria related to professional development and performance.	⊠ Year1	☐ Year 4
5.		☐ Year 2	Year 5
		☐ Year 3	
	Build and expand instructional strategies library on campus to support teacher and administrator growth in best practices to improve student academic achievement,	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year 4
6.	attendance, and behavior, as well as increasing parental environment.	⊠ Year 2	🛛 Year 5
J.		⊠ Year3	
		☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
7.		☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
		☐ Year 3	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

7.

Year 4

☐ Year 5

Year 1

☐ Year 2

☐ Year 3

5.

6.

7.

Геха	s Education Ag	ency	Standard Ap	plication Sys	stem (SAS)
		Schedule #17—Responses to TE/	A Program Requirements (cont.)		
Cou	ınty-district nun	nber or vendor ID: 227-907	Amendment # (for am		ıly):
Crit pro- inve List inte and Add	ical Success Fagram, under whe street in these and briefly deserventions select Root Causes in the select results.	juirement 4: Interventions to meet Mode actors are the key research-based focus are lich school improvement initiatives shall be a focus areas is most impactful to achieve a cribe the interventions selected for implemented fulfill all statutory requirements listed in dentified through your needs assessment. It the period during the grant cycle in which to space provided, front side only. Use A	eas, aligned with the statutory require planned. Research provides evidence continuous school improvement. entation for this Critical Success Fact the program assurances, and support the activities will be implemented.	ments of thise that effort a or. Ensure the	and nat
1,111	tical Success Factor:	Increase Use of Quality Data to Infe			
· ·		Planned Intervention		Perio Impleme	Approximately and the second
1.	Creative Curr	CPALLS will be used for the formative assect iculum TSG assessment. C-PALLS allows mail group lessons identified areas of study	teachers to determine small groups	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.	assessments performance and create co analyze comr and support,	meetings with grade level teams to determine district assessments and tier instruction. It is unit of instruction, engage in professions means assessments for targeted standards non formative assessment data to determine and to determine instructional strategies the in the schedule to provide students more tiermine in the schedule to provide students more tiermine distructional strategies the schedule to provide students more tiermine distructional strategies the schedule to provide students more tiermine distructions.	All teachers will analyze al learning of targeted standards, s. Teacher teams will continuously ne students who need more time at are successful. Intervention time	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
3.	Teachers will	use formative assessment data points, suc fy reading and math levels for small group	ch as istation, STMath, Tieme and	<ul><li>✓ Year 1</li><li>✓ Year 2</li><li>✓ Year 3</li></ul>	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
4.		, MOY, and EOY data review of ELL stude asured by TELPAS information.	nt data to ensure consistent growth	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
	Conduct tean	data meetings one time a semester to allo	ow the teachers to have a day of	☑ Year 1	⊠ Year4

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

common planning to do long range planning for the year.

Year 1

Year 2

Year 3

☐ Year 1

☐ Year 2

☐ Year 3

☑ Year 5

Year 4

☐ Year 5

☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)	
County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907 Amendment # (for amendments	
TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions to meet Mode Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus and	

program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that

and Add	l Root Causes i ditionally, indica	ted fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and suppo dentified through your needs assessment. te the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented. d to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	rt Problem :	Statements
4.1524	tical Success Factor:	Increase Learning Time		
		Planned Intervention	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	od for entation
1.		Educational Assistants working together to provide support for the very one instruction, with tier 2 and tier 3 instruction being taught in small groups.	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.		ended summer programs for Pre-K/Kindergarten to begin in August to prepare chool and to close the summer learning gap.	⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
3.	tutoring exper	vill contract additional academic support beyond the normal school day with ts, such as Sylvan Learning, to implement small group tutoring ratios and ess of tutoring program.	⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
4.		am to make recommendations for extended school day or school year to or tunities for students to have individualized time, attention, and support.	⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
5.	development of	am to make recommendations for additional professional development and days, outside the normal contracted period, to increase opportunities for the offessional knowledge and best practices.	⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
6.			☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year4
7.			☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

☐ Year 3

Care Control of the C		
Cchadula #17 Dec	ponses to TEA Program	Daaniromonte (cant)
Officante Bit	DUIISES LU ILA FIUUI alii	ivenan emento formi

County-district number or vendor ID: 227-907

Amendment # (for amendments only):

TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.

Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

4 7 7 7		11.5	711	2.3	
Criti	001	· e		~	
OTIL	L COL	٦,	uL	UU	:00
1. 李纳光亮 6		1 2	1.		11.0
	Fa	ctr	11	100	4
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			,,,		

Increase Parent/Community Engagement

	Planned Intervention	Perio Impleme	and the first term of the con-
1.	Provide parent classes to the parents of Pre-k children. The classes will be offered twice each semester in the evening for optimal participation.	⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
		⊠ Year3	
	(Pre) Pre-k registration in May 2016 to assist parents with the registration process.	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year 4
2.		⊠ Year 2	X Year 5
		⊠ Year 3	
	Partner with parents, businesses, and community members to provide events such as cultural heritage celebrations, student driven action research service projects, and	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year4
3.	personal/professional development opportunities for parents such as Job training, ESL	⊠ Year 2	🛛 Year 5
٥.	classes, computer skills, parenting skills, health awareness, etc.	⊠ Year3	
	Hire a school social worker to conduct home visits, set up counseling groups for students	⊠ Year 1	🛛 Year 4
4.	during the school day, service as a broker for resources, make referrals and provide support for tier III students.	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
4.		⊠ Year 3	
	Celebrate success of the students through incorporating an active PTO to engage parent	⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
_	awareness and support of the campus.	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
5.		⊠ Year 3	
	Community members will participate in the district sponsored parent involvement classes for	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year 4
6.	support with ESL, computer literacy, health awareness and parenting support.	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
J 0.		⊠ Year 3	
	Build parent involvement/engagement and education library on campus to support teacher and administrator growth in best practices to improve student academic achievement,	⊠ Year1	⊠ Year 4
	attendance, and behavior, as well as increasing parental environment. The library will also	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
7.	provide job training resources, basic computer skills, ESL, GED, and other resources that	⊠ Year 3	
	improve parent education, literacy, and overall characteristics and values that will work to improve involvement with their students education and overall campus involvement, engagement and support.		

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

6.

7.

exa	s Education Ag	ency	Standard Ap	plication Sy	stem (SAS)
		Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program	Requirements (cont.)		Barat de Sando
Critic programme investigation investigation investigation in the control of the	A Program Recical Success Fagram, under who estment in these and briefly des rventions selectionally, indical ponse is limited.	purement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirement of the key research-based focus areas, aligned ich school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Refocus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous cribe the interventions selected for implementation for ted fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the programment of the determinant of the period during the grant cycle in which the activities to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no	I with the statutory require esearch provides evidence school improvement. this Critical Success Fact m assurances, and support ies will be implemented.	.) ments of thi e that effort or. Ensure t	s and hat
Crit	tical Success Factor:	Improve School Climate		Perio	d for
		Planned Intervention			entation
1.		ent council, provide council members with leadership t articipate in campus decision, site based decision, ma		⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.	to campus stu an agency wo	an agency, such as Communities In Schools, to providents and training for campus administrators and teaculd collect data, monitor, and evaluate the program anogram recommendations.	chers, and staff, Such	✓ Year 1 ✓ Year 2 ✓ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
3.	Create a com	mittee to review campus climate survey results to dete weaknesses and next steps for administration.	rmine areas of	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
4.		nmittee, Sunshine, to celebrate success and celebration I provide monthly celebration to support the campus g		⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
5.	Create a com teacher retent	mittee to review ways to decrease teacher absences, ion.	attritions and increase	✓ Year 1 ✓ Year 2 ✓ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
				□ Vagr 1	□ Year 4

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Year 5

☐ Year 4

☐ Year 5

☐ Year 2

☐ Year 3

☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2

☐ Year 3

Fexas E	ducation Agency	Standard	Application S	ystem (SAS)
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Particip	<u>pation</u>		
County	r-District Number or Vendor ID: 227-907 Amendmen	nt number (for a	amendments	only):
No Ba	rriers			计量数据点
#	No Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others
000	The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups			
Barrie	r: Gender-Specific Blas			
#	Strategies for Gender-Specific Blas	Students	Teachers	Others
A01	Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully participate			
A02	Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias			
A03	Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias			
A04	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender	, 🗆		
A05	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender			
A0 <del>6</del>	Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program			
A99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity			
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
B01	Provide program information/materials in home language			$\boxtimes$
B02	Provide interpreter/translator at program activities			$\boxtimes$
B03	Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through a variety of activities, publications, etc.			
B04	Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds			
B05	Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities			
B06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations			
B07	Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity			×
B08	Seek technical assistance from education service center, technical assistance center, Title I, Part A school support team, or other provider			
B09	Provide parenting training			×
B10	Provide a parent/family center			
B11	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making			
	I		L.,	

Use Only
On this date:
By TEA staff person:

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic

C05

C06

C07

Provide mentor program

programs/activities

П

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

A Use Only
On this date:
By TEA staff person:

For TE	A Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas E	ducation Agency	Standard	Application S	ystem (SAS)	
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation	ı (cont.)		10/07/6/30	
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227-907 Amendment number (for amendments only):					
Barrie	r: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits (cont.)				
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits	Students	Teachers	Others	
P03	Provide announcements to local radio stations and newspapers about program activities/benefits				
P99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities					
#	Strategles for Lack of Transportation	Students	Teachers	Others	
Q01	Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiaries to activities				
Q02	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school				
Q03	Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood locations				
Q99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Other Barriers					
#	Strategies for Other Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others	
Z99	Other barrier		П		
	Other strategy	<u> </u>			
Z99	Other barrier Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier			<del>,</del>	
	Other strategy				
<b>Z</b> 99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy			Ц	
Z99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy Other barrier				
Z99	Other strategy				
<b>Z</b> 99	Other barrier			··· <del>-</del> ··· -	
	Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier	П			
	Other strategy	<u></u>			

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			